

# IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF POOLEN SOLL STATE OF THE SECOND SOLUTION SOLL STATE OF THE SECOND SOLL STATE OF THE SECOND SOLUTION SOLD SOLL STATE OF THE SECOND SOLUTION SOLD SOLUTION SOLD SOLUTION SOLUTION

LINDA DAWSON,	) CASE NO. CI 16- 1549
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) COMPLAINT
HY-VEE, INC. Defendant.	ASSIGNED TO Glann

Plaintiff Linda Dawson, for her Complaint against Defendant Hy-Vee, Inc., states:

- 1. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was a resident of Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska.
- 2. At all relevant times herein, Defendant was an Iowa corporation conducting business in the State of Nebraska by, among other things, operating a retail supermarket located at 747 North 132<sup>nd</sup> Street in Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska (hereinafter, the "Store").
- 3. On July 7, 2015, Plaintiff was in the process of exiting the Store when the sliding automatic doors shut suddenly, striking Plaintiff and knocking her to the ground (hereinafter, the "Incident")
- 4. As a direct and proximate result of the Incident, Plaintiff sustained injuries to her head, eye, neck, and back that required medical care and hospitalization.
- 5. Plaintiff's injuries sustained as a direct and proximate result of the Incident are permanent in nature.
- 6. As a direct and proximate result of the Incident, Plaintiff incurred, and continues to incur, medical expenses.
- 7. To date, Plaintiff has incurred the following medical expenses as a direct and proximate result of the Incident:

City of Omaha Rescue Squad: \$700.00
Nebraska Methodist Hospital: \$11,604.99
Nebraska Medicine: \$2,735.72
Midwest Eye Care: \$465.00
Omaha Neurological Clinic, Inc. (ongoing)
TOTAL MEDICAL SPECIALS: \$15,505.71

## **RES IPSA LOQUITUR THEORY OF RECOVERY**

- 8. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7 as if fully set forth herein.
  - 9. At the time of the Incident, Plaintiff was a lawful entrant within the Store.
- 10. At the time of the Incident, the Store's automatic sliding doors were under Defendant's exclusive control.
- 11. But for some negligent act or omission on the part of Defendant, its agents, or employees, the automatic sliding doors would not have shut suddenly and struck Plaintiff.
- 12. Any negligent act or omission of Defendant's agents or employees is imputed to Defendant through the doctrine of *respondeat superior*.
- 13. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff sustained general and special damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a judgment against Defendant in an amount that will fairly and adequately compensate her for physical pain and mental suffering experienced to date and that can reasonably be expected to occur in the future; disability experienced to date and that can reasonably be expected to occur in the future; medical expenses incurred to date and that can reasonably be expected to be incurred in the future; costs; and any other relief the Court deems just and equitable.

#### **NEGLIGENCE THEORY OF RECOVERY**

- 14. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 13 as if fully set forth herein.
- 15. Defendant owed a duty to use reasonable care to protect the Store's lawful entrants, including Plaintiff.
- 16. Defendant breached its duty by failing to maintain the Store's automatic sliding doors in a proper manner such that they would not strike Plaintiff.
- 17. Defendant breached its duty by failing to properly inspect the Store's automatic sliding doors.
  - 18. Defendant breached its duty by failing to warn Plaintiff.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff sustained general and special damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a judgment against Defendant in an amount that will fairly and adequately compensate her for physical pain and mental suffering experienced to date and that can reasonably be expected to occur in the future; disability experienced to date and that can reasonably be expected to occur in the future; medical expenses incurred to date and that can reasonably be expected to be incurred in the future; costs; and any other relief the Court deems just and equitable.

#### PREMISES LIABILITY THEORY OF RECOVERY

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 as if fully set forth herein.
- 21. Defendant knew, or through the exercise of reasonable care, should have known of the unsafe condition of the Store's automatic sliding doors.

22. Defendant knew or should have known that the Store's automatic sliding doors created an unreasonable risk of harm.

23. Defendant should have expected that lawful entrants such as Plaintiff would not

discover or realize the danger or would fail to protect themselves against the danger presented by

the Store's automatic sliding doors.

24. Defendant, in the exercise of reasonable care, should have maintained, inspected,

repaired or replaced the Store's automatic sliding doors, but Defendant failed to do so.

25. Defendant, in the exercise of reasonable care, should have taken measures to warn

lawful entrants such as Plaintiff of the danger presented by the Store's automatic sliding doors.

26. As a direct and proximate result of the Incident, Plaintiff sustained general and

special damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a judgment against Defendant in an amount that will

fairly and adequately compensate her for physical pain and mental suffering experienced to date

and that can reasonably be expected to occur in the future; disability experienced to date and that

can reasonably be expected to occur in the future; medical expenses incurred to date and that can

reasonably be expected to be incurred in the future; costs; and any other relief the Court deems

just and equitable.

LINDA DAWSON, Plaintiff

By:

Michael J. Mullen #22941

Walentine, O'Toole, McQuillan, & Gordon, LLP

11240 Davenport Street

P.O. Box 540125

Omaha, NE 68154

T: (402) 330-6300

F: (402) 330-6303

E: mmullen@womglaw.com

4



## CLERK OF THE NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT AND NEBRASKA COURT OF APPEALS

2413 State Capitol, P.O. Box 98910 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-8910 (402) 471-3731 FAX (402) 471-3480 Teresa (Terri) A. Brown Clerk

> Pamela J. Kraus Jill R. Machacek **Deputy Clerks**

Ashley J. Nolte Lori D. Oliveros **Appellate Clerks** 

Shelley Holmberg **Bailiff** 

December 18, 2017

Douglas County District Court Clerk's Office 1701 Farnam Street, Rm. 300 Omaha, NE 68183

Case Caption: Linda Dawson v. Hy-Vee Inc

Court of Appeals No: A-17-1294 Trial Court No: CI16-1549

Dear Clerk:

We have received and filed the certified copy of notice of appeal in the above-captioned case. Please record the Court of Appeals number and use it on all future correspondence and filings.

If we can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact our office.

Terri A. Brown

Clerk